ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION

田

E



Objectives

Types of accidents
Causes or contributing factors of accidents
How to perform an accident investigation
What documentation should be completed

Accident and Safety at work place



CHINA







Reducing Injury Risk

- avoid mismatch between worker's physical capabilities and limits with job demands
 - worker's injury compensation claims and costs to employer and insurance companies
 - personal, physical, emotional, social, financial, and career costs and losses for injured employee
 - e.g. Canada (year 2000): \$5.7 billion in worker injury and associated costs
 - e.g. Ontario (year 2000): \$ 2.3 billion in worker injury and associated costs



[Institute for Work and Health, www.iwh.on.ca]



Performance

- Are there minimum strength, agility, speed, or other physical levels required for execution of task?
- What is the minimum level of "skill" or "excellence" needed for a successful performance of task?
 - Are potential workers able to perform as well as seasoned workers?
- How much training or re-training may be required?
 Are there several potential "job postings" that the newly hired worker can fill successfully?

Legal Cases

Case 1: female applicant to police force, but could not scale 6 foot walls. City allows 6 foot walls/fences.

Ruling 1: reasonable for police to be required to scale 6 foot walls.

Case 2: police applicants required to run 1 mile track **Ruling 2:** job analysis showed that foot chases were usually only for short distances

Case 3: fire-fighters tests included carrying a dummy and showing agility

Ruling 3: tests did not arise from systematic analysis of work

Leading Causes of Workplace Deaths



What is the aim of an investigation
 EXONERATE INDIVIDUALS OR MANAGEMENT
 SATISFY INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

DEFEND A POSITION FOR LEGAL ARGUEMENT

OR, TO ASSIGN BLAME

The Aim of the Investigation



WE SHOULD LEARN FROM OUR MISTAKES

WHAT IS AN ACCIDENT?



AN UNPLANNED AND **UNWELCOMED EVENT WHICH INTERRUPTS** NORMAL **ACTIVITY.**



THREE BASIC TYPES OF ACCIDENTS

MINOR ACCIDENTS: SUCH AS PAPER CUTS TO FINGERS OR DROPPING A BOX OF MATERIALS





Example



The Basic Causes



THE ACCIDENT More serious

ACCIDENTS THAT CAUSE INJURY OR DAMAGE TO EQUIPMENT OR PROPERTY: SUCH AS A FORKLIFT DROPPING A LOAD OR SOMEONE FALLING OFF A LADDER





We sometime invite accidents by becoming complacent or simply acting stupid



THE ACCIDENT ACCIDENTS THAT OCCUR OVER AN EXTENDED TIME FRAME:

SUCH AS HEARING LOSS OR AN ILLNESS RESULTING FROM EXPOSURE TO CHEMICALS, NOISE, VIBRATION





ACCIDENTS HAVE TWO THINGS IN COMMON



THEY ALL HAVE OUTCOMES FROM THE ACCIDENT







THEY ALL HAVE CONTRIBUTORY FACTORS THAT CAUSE THE ACCIDENT

OUTCOMES OF ACCIDENTS

NEGATIVE ASPECTS

- DEATH & INJURY
- DISEASE
- DAMAGE TO EQUIPMENT & PROPERTY
- LITIGATION COSTS
- LOST PRODUCTIVITY
- DEMORALIZING THE WORKFORCE

You can seriously harm yourself as well as others



OUTCOMES OF ACCIDENTS

POSITIVE ASPECTS

ACCIDENT INVESTIGATIONCHANGE TO SAFETY PROGRAMS

increase productivity, improve operations, raise awareness and prevent recurrence

CONTRIBUTING FACTORS



(1) Task

- Ergonomics
- Safety work procedures
- Condition changes
- Process
- Materials
- Workers
- Appropriate tools/materials
- Safety devices (including lockout)

(2) Material

- Equipment failure
- Machinery design/guarding
- Hazardous substances
- Substandard material

WORKPLACE LAYOUT DESIGN OF TOOLS & EQUIPMENT

(3) Environment

- Weather conditions
- Housekeeping
- Temperature
- Lighting
- Air contaminants
- Personal protective equipment

NOISE VAPORS, FUMES, DUST LIGHT HEAT ANIMALS

(4) Human Factor

- Level of experience
- Level of training
- Physical capability
- Health
- Fatigue
- Stress

Specific Task Training

E.g. "LIFTING" Training Should Specifically Cover ...

- 1. Risks to health of unskilled lifting
- 2. Basic biomechanics of lifting
- 3. Effects of lifting on the body
- 4. Individual's awareness of their strengths and weaknesses using trials from moderate to heavy
- 5. How to avoid the unexpected shifting loads
- 6. Lifting skills posture, leverage, timing
- 7. Lifting aids back belts, dollies, hoists, gloves, pads
- 8. Warnings when to have individual vs. team lifting



Figure 13.3 The typical "stoop lift" posture on the left is compared with two different types of squat lifting of a 400-N box (as adapted from Anderson and Chaffin, 1986).

Lifting Distance



[Chaffin et al,



Figure 6.38 Mean and SD values for the peak moments at the L5/S1 disc in relation to speed of lifting a 150-N box from the floor (Bush-Joseph et al., 1988).




Workers Safety

Accident Theories

Accident Proneness Theory

- some people more prone to accidents due to peculiar set of constitutional characteristics (e.g. age, job experience, etc.)
- e.g. Age: young workers more prone due to inattention, lack of discipline, impulsiveness, recklessness, misjudgment, overestimation of capacity, pride
- e.g. Age: older workers more prone due to deterioration of motor skills, sensory functions, mental agility

Accident Theories

Job Demand vs. Worker Capability Theory

 accident liability increases when job requirements exceeds worker capacities and skills

Adjustment-to-Stress Theory

- psychological stress or physiological stress exceeds worker endurance
- e.g. noise, poor lighting, anxiety, lack of sleep, anger, etc.

Arousal-Alertness Theory

 accidents more likely to occur when job stimulation is too low (e.g. underloaded or bored) or too high (e.g. overloaded or overly motivated)

Accident Theories

Goals-Freedom-Alertness Theory

 less freedom for workers to set job goals yields lower-quality job performance and more accidents

Psychoanalytic Theory

- Some accidents are self-punitive actions due to anger, guilt, or aggression
- Account for isolated incidents but of no really value explaining typical accidents

Accident Factors

284 Chemical Industry Accidents (Japan)	
Inadequate Standard Operational Procedure	19 %
Error in Recognition or Confirmation	15
Error in Judgment	14
Poor Inspection	12
Inadequate Directives	10
Inadequate Operational Information	10
Operational Error	6
Unskilled Operation	6
Imperfect Maintenance	2
Other	6

Accident Factors

405 Gold Mining Accidents (Sou	th Africa)
Failed to Perceive Hazard	36 %
Underestimated Hazard	25
Failed to Respond to a Recognized Hazard	17
Responded to Hazard Ineffectively	14

Accident Factors

"Perception" as Contributing Factor



Accident Data Collection

Data on accidents routinely taken by

- Insurance companies
- Police departments
- Trade Associations and Unions
- Industry: occupational health unit
- Researchers

Accident Reports typically include ...

- Nature of injury (strain, impact, amputation)
- Area of Body (head, back, finger, etc.)
- Type of Accident ("struck by", "caught between", "fell")
- Source (equipment, hand tools, body movement, etc.)

Accident Data Collection

Critical Incident Technique

- Purpose: detailed documentation of unsafe activities or near-miss accidents to develop preventative measures
- Basis: many more "close calls" rather than actual accidents in workplace
- Pros:
 - correlation between observed "unsafe" acts & actual accidents
 - preventative approach

Cons:

- selective worker recall on details of incidents over which they had no control vs. ones they were responsible for
- definition of "critical" or "near miss" is vague

Accident Prevention

- Substitute for memory and task lag time
- e.g. aircraft operation, military operations
- Warning Signs
 - "danger", "warning", "hazard", "caution"
- Training
 - ensure safe and productive job behavior
- Feedback
 - management to give workers encouragement re: preferred methods of executing tasks and jobs
- Incentive Programs ("The Carrot")
 - bonuses, promotions, privileges (e.g. time off, better parking space locations), group safety records, tokens redeemable for catalog products

Workers Safety

(5) Management/Process Failure

- Visible active senior management support for safety
- Safety policies
- Enforcement of safety policies
- Adequate supervision
- Knowledge of hazards
- Hazard corrective action
- Preventive maintenance
- Regular audits

SYSTEMS & PROCEDURES

LACK OF SYSTEMS & PROCEDURES INAPPROPRIATE SYSTEMS & PROCEDURES



CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

SYSTEMS & PROCEDURES

LACK OF SYSTEMS & PROCEDURESINAPPROPRIATE SYSTEMS & PROCEDURES



CONTRIBUTING FACTORS HUMAN BEHAVIOUR

 COMMON TO ALL ACCIDENTS
 NOT LIMITED TO THE PERSON INVOLVED IN THE ACCIDENT



It is Important to bear in Mind that

Investigation is not intended to place blame.

WHO SHOULD INVESTIGATE

DEPENDENT ON SEVERITY OF THE ACCIDENT

– INVESTIGATION TEAM
INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED
SUPERVISOR
SAFETY SUPERVISOR
UPPER MANAGEMENT
OUTSIDE CONSULTANTS



INVESTIGATION STRATEGY GATHER INFORMATION & ESTABLISH FACTS ISOLATE ESSENTIAL CONTRIBUTORY FACTORS

DETERMINE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

IMPLEMENT CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

FACT GATHERING

BE IMPARTIAL & OBJECTIVE
 DO NOT BE INFLUENCED BY EITHER SIDE

- COMPILE PROCEDURES & RULES FOR THE AREA
- Gather information not only from the management but very much from the labour force and the ones near the accident.
- GATHER MAINTENANCE RECORDS ON EQUIPMENT INVOLVED

INVESTIGATION STRATEGY
FACT GATHERING (CONTINUED)

- ISOLATE ACCIDENT SCENE

– PHOTOS & DIAGRAMS (even Video)

– DO NOT DISCARD OR DESTROY ANYTHING

FACT GATHERING (CONTINUED)

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCEOBTAIN INFORMATION

INJURED
WITNESSES
SUPERVISORS
OTHER PERSONNEL

FACT GATHERING (CONTINUED)

INTERVIEWS (SEPARATELY)

WHAT WERE YOU DOING?
HOW DO YOU THINK THE ACCIDENT OCCURRED?
HOW WERE YOU TRAINED FOR THE JOB?
WHAT WAS THE ENGINEER INSTRUCTION?
WHAT IS THE SAFETY PROCEDURE FOR THIS JOB?

FACT GATHERING (CONTINUED)

- OBTAIN FACTS NOT OPINIONS

 MAKE IT CLEAR THE OBJECT OF THE INVESTIGATION IS TO AVOID RECURRENCE, NOT TO APPORTION BLAME

Interview Do Not's

- -Intimidate the witness
- -Interrupt
- -Prompt
- -Ask leading questions
- -Show your own emotions
- –Make lengthy notes while the witness is talking

Analysis and Conclusion

Isolate contributory factors

– Would the accident have occurred if this particular factor was not present?

Determine

- Why the accident occurred
- A likely sequence of events and probably causes
- Draw conclusions and make recommendations based on key contributing factors and causes.
- Implement corrective actions and set a time table to complete them.

REPORT

 Statement of injured or ill employee concerning the incident and injured employee information

Witness statements

Equipment involved

Other factors or contributing causes

Corrective action plan

ISOLATE ESSENTIAL CONTRIBUTORY FACTORS

- INVESTIGATION TEAM

EVALUATES ALL FACTORS CONCERNED

ISOLATE ESSENTIAL CONTRIBUTORY FACTORS

- INVESTIGATION TEAM

ISOLATES THE KEY FACTOR(S) BY ASKING THE FOLLOWING QUESTION....

WOULD THE ACCIDENT HAVE HAPPENED IF THIS PARTICULAR FACTOR WAS NOT PRESENT?

DETERMINE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- INVESTIGATION TEAM

 INTERPRETS & DRAWS CONCLUSION
 DISTINCTION BETWEEN INTERMEDIATE & UNDERLYING CAUSES

INVESTIGATION STRATEGY DETERMINE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- INVESTIGATION TEAM

RECOMMENDATIONS BASED ON KEY CONTRIBUTORY FACTORS AND UNDERLYING CAUSES

IMPLEMENT CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- INVESTIGATION TEAM

- RECOMMENDATION(S) MUST BE COMMUNICATED CLEARLY
- STRICT TIME TABLE ESTABLISHED
 FOLLOW UP CONDUCTED

BENEFITS OF ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION

PREVENTING RECURRENCE

IDENTIFYING OUT-MODED PROCEDURES

IMPROVEMENTS TO WORK ENVIRONMENT

BENEFITS OF ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION

INCREASED PRODUCTIVITY

IMPROVEMENT OF OPERATIONAL & SAFETY PROCEDURES

RAISES SAFETY AWARENESS LEVEL

BENEFITS OF ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION

WHEN AN ORGANIZATION REACTS SWIFTLY AND POSTIVELY TO ACCIDENTS AND INJURIES, ITS ACTIONS REAFFIRM ITS COMMITMENT TO THE SAFETY AND WELL-BEING OF ITS EMPLOYEES Are we to leave our children a country ridden with accidents and their corresponding burden of human and economic loss?

Raymond J. Colvin, Sr. NYU.....1959
Summery

What are the different types of accidents?
What causes or contributes to accidents?
How do you perform an accident investigation?
What documentation should be completed?

Food Service Accident Analysis

Retail Grocery Store Accident

Pre-event

- 15-year old Part Time Worker in retail grocery store
- Hired to clean meat department and wash down tables
- Instructed not to touch any of the equipment except meat grinder
- Training offered by employer
 - Written Policy Did not address age specific info regarding control of hazardous energy
 - Management On-The-Job Department-Specific Training
- Company's First Serious Injury

- Electric cord for meat grinder was still attached to energized receptacle
 - Receptacle was located behind a desk and not accessible for routine disconnect
 - Therefore, was connected at all times
- On/Off switch, located on left side of grinder
 - Was <u>unprotected</u> from inadvertent operation
- Magnetic Safety Switch, located under plastic tub used for feeding meat into machine
 - Not functional since store was purchased 16 years prior
 - Store employees were not aware of its presence





During Accident

- Employee arrived and began to perform regular duties
- Grinder had already been disassembled and shut down

Cord was not disconnected from receptacle

Employee cleaned parts and began reassembly

- Attached barrel shape housing to transmission case
- Inserted to the grinder's auger through the front of the housing
- Then, he reached through the feed hopper (throat) with his right hand to guide the auger into engagement
- During this process he accidentally leaned against the on/off switch – activating it
 - The grinder started and the auger began to feed his hand and arm through the grinder housing



Post-Accident

- Employee Shut Down Machine and withdrew his arm
- He left the meat department room and made his way to the front of the store
- Store manager assisted employee by applying pressure to the injured right arm while another employee dialed 911
- EMS arrived within 10 minutes and employee was transported to local hospital
- Employee suffered amputation of lower right arm

Safety Equipment and measures

- -Power cord not located in easily accessible location
- On/Off switch not protected from inadvertent operation
- Magnetic Safety switch not functional
 - Switch mounted on top of motor started designed to be pulled closed when contact made with magnet on underneath side of plastic meat feeding tub.
 Magnet mounted underneath plastic tub had fallen off
 - Switch was then bypassed by previous store owner
 Employer and staff were unaware of this safety device
 - Would have prevented this accident

Regulation Violations

- Child-Labor Laws
 - 14 & 15 year olds prohibited from occupations:
 - Requiring the performance of duties in work rooms or work places where goods are manufactured, mined, or processed
 - Involving the tending, including the cleaning and assembling of power-driven machinery
 - Involving operating, setting-up, cleaning, oiling, or repairing power-driven food grinders.
 - A minimum age of 18 has been established for operating powered meat grinders

Human Error

Miscommunication between management and employee

Management

- Instructed employee to clean disassembled parts and assemble partially (unclear)
- Management reports that he had instructed employee to only attach auger-housing and to tighten the bolts and nuts finger-tight
- Did not inform employee of what hazards the restrictions are designed to guard against

Activating the On/Off switch while assembling the parts

Employee

- Believed the assembly process was more extensive
- Only 4 parts to reassemble and could easily be deduced without instruction

Primary Cause of Accident

- Haddon's 10 Countermeasures Energy Transfer Model
 Pre-accident countermeasures most applicable to this accident
 Prevent Energy Release
 Move cord to easily accessible location
 Protect on/off switch from unintended operation
 Repair Magnetic Safety Switch
- Training of Employees should be corrected to include hazard recognition – dangers working with energized equipment
- Child-Labor Laws need to be followed

- Employer should develop and implement appropriate procedures to control the release of hazardous energy, including lockout/ tag out procedures
 - After Accident, cord was relocated to easily accessible receptacle
 - Established procedures to lockout/tagout equipment at end of day and while servicing or repairing

- Employer should ensure that all equipment, including manufacture-provided safety devices, is maintained in safe operating conditions
 - Have manufacturer of distributor inspect equipment at time of purchase or especially if previously owned
 - Should be regularly examined by employees who have been trained to recognize the hazards
 - Defects should be corrected before returning equipment to service
 - After accident, owner contacted technician to repair Magnetic Safety Switch

Employer should ensure that all equipment is survey regularly to identify appropriate safety control improvements

- After the accident, employer added guard to on/off switch to prevent accidental activation
 - Made from electrical junction boxSimilar to recessed switch design
- Manufacturer provides similar protection for currently produced equipment
 - U-shaped guard over on/off switch





Employers should know and comply with child labor laws and establish the type of work that minors can perform

 Be very clear about job function and hazards if these functions are violated

 Continually check to make sure rules are being adhered to

- Employer should ensure that workers are trained to recognize hazards and avoid the hazards of equipment operation and maintenance
 - Include in-depth description of potential hazards if restrictions and procedures are not followed
 Dangers of working with energized equipment

Why Safety standards?



SAFETY

Absolute safety ,in the sense of a degree of safety which satisfies all individuals or groups under all condition, is neither attainable nor affordable.



SAFETY FIRST

THE SAFE WAY IS THE BEST WAY

SPACE ACCIDENTS

Video clip 55 min

Reference

- Mr.Ken Roberts, MS, CIH, CSP, Environmental Services Officer
- Professor Feyen
- Other references to be given on the general reference section.







References

This presentation is put together from, course books, other presentations as well as various websites in the forms of text, photos, audio and video clips. All the references will be given in the general reference section on the web Ct

Reference

- Anderson and Chaffin, "A biomechanical evaluation of five lifting techniques", Applied Ergonomics, 17(1):2-8, 1986.
- Bush-Joseph, et al., "Influence of dynamic factors on the lumbar spine moment in lifting", Ergonomics, 31(2):211-216, 1988.
- Callaghan, Occupational Biomechanics and Ergonomics, University of Guelph, course notes, 2002.
- Chaffin et al., *Occupational Biomechanics*, 1999.
- Fisher, Analysis of Spinal Stresses During Lifting, 1967.
- Hayashi, "Hazard analysis in chemical complexes in Japan—Especially those caused by human error", *Ergonomics*, 28(6):835-841, 1985.
- Institute for Work and Health, <u>www.iwh.on.ca</u>
- Modified from the Presentation of Ken Roberts, MS, CIH, CSPEnvironmental Services Officer
- And the Presentation of Professor Feyen
- Irvine et al., "Stairway risers and treads: acceptable and preferred dimensions", Applied Ergonomics, 21(3): 215-225, 1990.
- Lawrence, "Human error as a cause of accidents in gold mining", *Journal of Safety Research*, 6:78-88, 1974.
- NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health), Work Practices Guide to Manual Lifting, 1981.
- Sanders & McCormick, *Human Factors in Engineering Design*, 1993.
- Workplace Issues II:Worker Selection, Training, and Safety, Rad Zdero, Ph.D. University of Guelph