

#### Simple Internetworking

#### **Networks Everywhere!**



Computer networks

**Biological networks** 

# **Network Fundamentals**

- Addresses most human-made networks explicitly assign addresses to nodes
- Forwarding routing messages to a given target
- Routing collecting state information for forwarding



#### Examples

- Traffic/city networks city names (addresses), road signs (forwarding), topological mapping (routing)
- Processor networks (in supercomputers) – processor numbers (addresses), switches (forwarding), topological mapping (done once assuming invariant topology)

### The Internetworking Problem





Before Internet: different packetswitching networks (e.g., ARPANET, ARPA packet radio) ... only nodes on the same network could communicate

### The Internetworking Problem



# Internetworking Requirements

#### Connectivity

- Need access to resources, data in different networks
- Local networks cannot be changed dramatically – preserve *local autonomy*

#### • Cost

- Reuse existing functionality as much as possible
- What can we reuse?

#### **Reuse Local Network Functions**

- What can we reuse?
- How do we reuse?
- Remember Internet is a network it needs
  - Addresses
  - Forwarding functions
  - Routing functions

### A Translation-based Solution



- application-layer gateways
  - difficult to deploy new internet-wide applications
  - hard to diagnose and remedy end-to-end problems
  - stateful gateways inhibited dynamic routing around failures
- no global addressability
  - ad-hoc, application-specific solutions

#### Native Solution: Directly on Network

(FTP – File Transfer Protocol, NFS – Network File Transfer, HTTP – World Wide Web protoco



 No network level overlay: each new application has to be *re*-implemented for every network technology!

# IP as an Intermediary

- Key ideas:
  - Overlay: better than any
     →any translation. Fewer, simpler mappings.
  - Network-layer: efficient implementation, global addressing



# IP as an Intermediary

IP enabled gateway (IP address + Local Address)



Local networks (each network with its own local protocol)

#### Internet Protocol: Few Details

- IP runs over everything
- Networks linked together by Internetwork programs -- each host supports them
- Example Internetwork shown next:
  - Consists of two networks Ethernet and Token ring
  - Host connected to Ethernet can talk to one connected to the Token



#### IP .. Few Details











## Forwarding Vs Routing

#### • Forwarding:

consult a local table to decide the best way of moving an incoming packet

 Routing: determine the path to be taken by a packet to reachine in arriving packet's header a given destination



# IP Forwarding (Case I)

- Source & Destination in same network (direct connectivity)
  - Recognize that destination IP address is on same network.
  - Find the destination LAN address.
  - Send IP packet encapsulated in LAN frame directly to the destination LAN address.
    - Encapsulation => source/destination IP addresses don't change

# IP Forwarding (Case II)

- B) Source & Destination in different networks (indirect connectivity)
  - Recognize that destination IP address is <u>not</u> on same network.
  - <u>Look up</u> destination IP address in a (L3 forwarding) table to find a match, called the next hop router IP address.
  - Send packet encapsulated in a LAN frame to the LAN address corresponding to the IP address of the next-hop router.

# **IP** Addressing

- How to find if destination is in the same network ?
  - IP address = network ID + host ID.
    - Source and destination network IDs match => same network (I.e. direct connectivity)
  - Splitting address into multiple parts is called hierarchical addressing



### **Address Resolution**

- How to find the LAN address corresponding to an IP address ?
  - Address Resolution Problem.
  - Solution: ARP

## IP Forwarding: Example Scenario

#### IP datagram:

miscsourcedestfieldsIP addrIP addr

datagram remains unchanged, as it travels source to destination addr fields of interest here

#### routing table in A



# IP Forwarding (Direct)

misc fields 223.1.1.1 223.1.1.3 data				
fields 223.1.1.1 223.1.1.3 data	misc	222.4.4.4	222442	data
	fields	223.1.1.1	223.1.1.3	uata

# Starting at A, given IP datagram addressed to B:

look up net. address of B find B is on same net. as A link layer will send datagram directly to B inside link-layer frame

> B and A are <u>directly</u> <u>connected</u>



# IP Forwarding (Indirect): Step 1

misc	000444	000 4 0 0	dete
fields	223.1.1.1	223.1.2.2	data

#### Starting at A, dest. E:

look up network address of E E on *different* network

#### A, E <u>not directly</u> attached

routing table: next hop router to E is 223.1.1.4 link layer sends datagram to

router 223.1.1.4 inside link-layer frame

datagram arrives at 223.1.1.4 continued.....



# IP Forwarding (Indirect): Step 2

misc	000444	000 4 0 0	dete
fields	223.1.1.1	223.1.2.2	data

# Arriving at 223.1.1.4, destined for 223.1.2.2

look up network address of E E on *same* network as router's interface 223.1.2.9

#### router, E <u>directly</u>

#### attached

link layer sends datagram to 223.1.2.2 inside link-layer frame via interface 223.1.2.9 datagram arrives at 223.1.2.2





### **IP Address Formats**

Class A:	0 Ne	twork	Hos	t	
	1	7	24		bits
Class B:	10	Network	H	lost	
	2	14		16	bits
Class C:	110	Netwo	rk	Hc	ost
	3	21		8	bits
Class D:	1110	Multicast (	Group a	ddre	sses
	4	28			bits

#### **Dotted Decimal Notation**

 Binary: 11000000 00000101 00110000 00000011 Hex Colon: C0:05:30:03 Dotted Decimal: 192.5.48.3

Class	Range
Α	0 through 127
В	128 through 191
C	192 through 223
D	224 through 239
Е	240 through 255

# Subnet Addressing

- Classful addressing inefficient: Everyone wants class B addresses
- Can we split class A, B addresses spaces and accommodate more networks ?
  - Need another level of hierarchy. Defined by "<u>subnet mask</u>", which in general specifies the sets of bits belonging to the network address and host address respectively



Boundary is flexible, and defined by subnet mask

# Subnet Addressing

- Classful addressing inefficient: Everyone wants class B addresses
- Can we split class A, B addresses spaces and accommodate more networks ?
  - Need another level of hierarchy. Defined by "<u>subnet mask</u>", which in general specifies the sets of bits belonging to the network address and host address respectively



Boundary is flexible, and defined by subnet mask

# Subnet Addressing...

- Example: Consider the address112.14.2.2 with a network mask 255.252.0.0.
- The address is structured as follows:
  - Onnnnnn.ssssshh.hhhhhhhh.hhhhhhh
  - The given address is
  - 01110000.00001110.0000010.0000010
  - in subnet with prefix 112.12.0.0.
  - The hosts in this subnet are numbered 112.12.0.1 to 112.15.255.254
  - 112.15.255.255 is the broadcast for the subnet
  - Each subnet has (2<sup>18</sup> -2) hosts
  - CIDR prefix 112.12.0.0/14

# Subnet Addressing...

- Subnet addressing happens when an ISP is issuing addresses to customers.
- We also have reverse problem supernetting.
  - Aggregating networks together so that they can be specified by smaller number of prefixes
  - Subnetting creates more networks.
  - Therefore, supernetting is essential to reduce the workload of the core routers



# IP Processing

- IP processing takes place at
  - Hosts transmitting (initiating) and receiving IP packets
  - Routers forwarding IP packets
- Host processing can involve
  - Reassembling packets, sending and receiving control messages (ICMP) related to IP packets
  - Deciding forwarding for its owns packets



### **IP Host Processing**



#### IP Host Processing ...

- Nearly identical scheme true for other protocols
  - TCP, UDP, ICMP, and IGMP send data to IP
  - IP adds protocol field in the IP packet to indicate what type of data is in the data segment -- ICMP = 1, IGMP =2, TCP=6, UDP = 17
- Similarly, many different applications can be using TCP or UDP at any one time

# IP Host Processing...

- Network interface sends/receives frames
  - Frame type on Ethernet header is used to indicate the protocol
  - Protocol could be on behalf of IP, ARP, RARP -- a 16-bit frame type field in the Ethernet header is used to indicate the protocol
- Headers are removed as packet goes up
- Each protocol box uses the protocol field to demultiplex the packet among the upper layer receivers

#### **IP Host Processing...**



#### IP Host Processing...



# Internet Protocol: Details

- IP header carries
  - Internet source and destination addresses
  - Specify parameters essential for routing (e.g., TTL, type of service, options)





- Header has fixed fields present in every packets and several options
- Header fields are aligned at 32-bit boundary
- **Version:** 4 = IPv4
- IHL: Internet header length -- in 32-bit words -- the length is 5 (when no options) and varies to 15 -- 40 bytes are allowed for IP options
- **Type of service:** defines packet's precedence and desired type of routing

- **Total length:** number of bytes contained in the packet -- including the IP header
- **TTL (time-to-live):** sets the upper limit on the number of routers through which a datagram can pass
  - each router decrements this by 1 as the packet passes
  - when it reaches 0, the packet is thrown away and an ICMP message is sent to the source

23456 8 17

29 38

80

88 89

255

- Identification, Flags, Decimal Fragment offset: used b fragmentation and reassembly
- Protocol: use at the destination for demultiplexing the packet

Keyword	Protocol
ICMP IGMP GGP IP ST TCP	Reserved Internet Control Message Internet Group Management Gateway-to-Gateway IP in IP (encapsulation) Stream Transmission Control
EGP	Exterior Gateway Protocol
UDP	User Datagram
ISO-TP4	ISO Transport Protocol Class 4
IDPR-CMTP	IDPR Control Messager Transport Protocol
ISO-IP	ISO Internet Protocol (CLNP)
IGRP OSPF	IGRP Open Shortest Path First
	Reserved



- Routing protocols normally use the "best" route -- there are several definitions of "best" -- cheapest, fastest, most reliable
- Type of service specifies the requirement of the application to the routing protocol





- Precedence indicator does not affect routing but queuing
  - several packets waiting for transmission on the same channel
  - highest precedence should in theory be transmitted first
- The "differentiated services" effort is refining this traditional interpretation of type-of-service

#### Fragmentation and Reassembly:

- Internetworking programs are expected to relay packets between heterogeneous networks
- Network technology implies a maximum packet size
- Incoming packet fragmented:

Incoming		IP head	der fields	Data	field			
packet:		ld=X,	L=4020,	DF=0,	MF=0,	offset=0	AAB	BC(
Fragment Fragment Fragment	1: 2: 3:	ld=X, ld=X, ld=X,	L=1520, L=1520, L=1020,	DF=0, DF=0, DF=0,	MF=1, MF=1, MF=0,	offset=0 offset=1500 offset=3000	AA BB CC	



#### Incoming fragment fragmented:

Incomina		IP head	der fields				Data field
Fragment	2:	ld=X,	L=1520,	DF=0,	MF=1,	offset=1500	BB
Fragment Fragment Fragment	2a: 2b: 2c:	ld=X, ld=X, ld=X,	L= 520, L= 520, L= 520,	DF=0, DF=0, DF=0,	MF=1, MF=1, MF=1,	offset=1500 offset=2000 offset=2500	B  B

- Identification + source address uniquely identifies the packet fragment for the destination
- Receiver assembles all fragments with same ID according to the "offset"



- Host can't reuse an identifier if there is a risk of fragmentation and new fragments mixing with old fragments
- Wait for the expiration of the fragments --TTL
- With a packet size of 4k, this translates to about 17Mbps transfer rate -- clearly not adequate
- Correct way is to discovery the path MTU and use it as the max packet size -- no fragmentation

#### Path MTU discovery:

- sets the DF (don't fragment bit) in the IP header to discover if any router on the current path needs to fragment
- ICMP error message is returned by a router asked to forward an IP with DF set when MTU is less than datagram size
- this error message is used to decrease the datagram size until no error -- TCP path MTU discovery

#### IP Options:

- IP options field is used to carry specific functions
  - request specific routing for some packets, e.g., loose source routing, strict source routing
  - in source routing, the send specifies the route by specifying intermediate routers
- options are rarely used now.



#### **Options and header processing:**

- IP options are used rarely because of the processing costs
- naive implementation of IP routing will perform
  - verify version field, checksum, compatibility checks, and parse any IP options
  - look for next hop for destination address considering type of service, interface and so on.
  - takes hundreds of instructions



- To speed up router processing
  - optimize the most commonly used case
  - without the IP options -- the header has five 32-bit words -- makes verification faster
  - frequently used routes can be cached -- to achieve Gigabit-per-second routing!
- Packet with options create problems -they are processed with low priority than "normal" packets



- Because of the performance penalty for options alternatives are used for source routing
- One technique is called "encapsulation"
- Instead of specifying a loose source routing "from A to B through C" -- encapsulate a packet "from A to B" in another packet "from A to C"

$A \rightarrow C, IP-IP$	${\tt A}  ightarrow {\tt B}, {\tt TCP}$	TCP header + data
IP header(1)	IP header(2)	

 When C receives it, protocol type "IP in IP" denotes encapsulation -- unwraps the packet and forwards



- Cost at router C will be comparable, i.e., processing options and unwrapping
- Cost at intermediate routers A to C, and C to B are less with the encapsulation -- processed using the optimized methods -- no option processing

#### Internet Control Message Protocol

- IP is straightforward and simple –no feedback for diagnosing error conditions
- Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) does this feedback
  - layered on top of IP -- protocol type 1
  - all routers and hosts are expected to "speak" this protocol



- Most ICMP packets are diagnostic info. sent back when a router destroys a packet -- e.g., destination unreachable, TTL expired
- ICMP also defines a echo function used for testing connectivity
- ICMP does not make IP datagram service reliable

- Just provides feedback about network problems
- ICMP is carried on IP datagrams -these packets themselves could be victim of errors
- No ICMP error is triggered by an ICMP message

#### All ICMP messages start with a common 32-bit ICMP header

- Echo Reply 0
- **Destination Unreachable** 3
- 4 Source Quench
- Redirect
- 5 8 9 Echo
- Router Advertisement
- 10 **Router Solicitation**
- 11 Time Exceeded
- 12 Parameter Problem
- 13 Timestamp
- **Timestamp Reply** 14
- Information Request 15
- 16 Information Reply
  - ICMP message types





- Reporting "operational" problems such as time exceeded, destination unreachable, source quench is the most common use
- These packets have the same format includes the entire header of and 8 bytes of the triagering packet
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 Type
   Code
   Unused
   Unused



- Destination unreachable messages are sent when a router cannot forward a packet
  - 0 = net unreachable
  - 1 = host unreachable
  - 2 = protocol unreachable
  - 3 = port unreachable
    - = fragmentation needed and DF set
  - 5 = source route failed
- Time exceeded message sent when a packet is destroyed because TTL expired

- Source quench messages are sent by a router that detects congestion -source is supposed to reduce sending rate when it receives this message
- Parameter problem message is sent by a router that find an error in the encoding of the IP header



# ICMP Ping

 When a router/host receives an ICMP message of type echo, it responds by an "echo reply"



 Reply is derived from request by swapping the IP header's source and destination address -- replacing ECHO by ECHO-REPLY and computing new checksums

## **ICMP** Traceroute

- Traceroute tries to discover intermediate routers
- Send packet with TTL = 1; first router decrements TTL to 0, destroy the packet and send back a "TTL expired" ICMP message
- Source address of the ICMP identifies the first router
- Next message is sent with TTL+1 for second router
- Packet sent for an unused UDP port an ICMP port unreachable -- message is sent back

# **ICMP Router Discovery**

- To send a packet a host needs nexthop
  - Test whether packet destination in current subnet
  - If not, forward packet to a router so that packet can reach the destination
- When there are multiple routers connected to the local network -- host should select the one nearest to the destination

# ICMP Router Discovery ...

- How to discovery local routers?
  - Read from a config file static solution
  - Dynamic solution zero admin overhead – discovery procedure
- Router discovery using special ICMP messages
- Routers send "router advertisements" at regular intervals
- Hosts trigger this by sending "router solicitations"

# ICMP Router Discovery ...

- Router advertisements contain a list of routers with a preference notation
- Hosts select router with highest preference

